

Meeting Minutes

Southern Business and Community Liaison Groups Special Meeting – Urban Design and Landscape Plan

Date	26/04/2022	Time	5.30 PM to 7.00 PM
Chair	Mike Marasco	Meeting no.	1 of 1
Location	Veneto Club	Minute taker	Nadia Hollins

Attendees

Attendees			
Name	Organisation	Name	Organisation
Mike Marasco (MM)	Independent Chair	Cam Giardina (CG)	Cam's Greenaway Auto Repairs
Soula Grouios (SG)	Arthurs Fish & Chips Bulleen	Hudson Brown (HB)	The French Lettuce
Nathan Mc Avaney (NM)	Topgear Cycles	Frank Freschi (FF)	Veneto Club
Alison Tobias (AT)	Alot of Good Stuff	Bruce Plain (BP)	Bulleen Art & Garden
Simon Tsalikidis (ST)	Café Heide	Glennys Jones (GJ)	Community representative
Ben Dawson (BD)	Community representative	Judith Voce (JV)	Community representative
Tina Garg (TG)	Community representative	Melissa Reid (MR)	Boroondara City Council
Liz Lambropoulos (LL)	Manningham City Council	Katherine Meulen (KM)	SPARK
Dieta Lim (DL)	Tract	Jefa Greenway (JG)	Greenway Architects
Beth L'Estrange (BL)	Tract	Louisa Rendina (LR)	NELP
Frances Wickerson (FW)	NELP	Renato Marnich (RM)	NELP
Andrew Hocking (AH)	NELP	Nadia Hollins (NH)	NELP

Apologies			
Name	Organisation	Name	Organisation
John Van Delft (JVD)	Community representative	Paul McMorran (PM)	Bulleen Art & Garden
Alexander Law (AL)	Community representative	Mark Judge (MJ)	VicRoads
Clare Davey (CD)	Boroondara City Council	Anitha Viswanathan (AV)	VicRoads
Leah McGuinness (LM)	Whitehorse City Council	Vanessa Bove (VB)	Manningham City Council
Christine Gibbins (CG)	Manningham City Council	Natalie Pearson (NP)	NELP

Key discussion points/decisions

Owner	Action
Mike Marasco (MM)	<p>Welcome and introductions</p> <p>MM welcomed the Southern Business Liaison Group (SBLG) and Southern Community Liaison Group (SCLG) attendees to the Special Meeting to present the Urban Design and Landscape Plan (UDLP).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> All attendees introduced themselves, their positions, and the business or community they represent.
Katherine Meulen (KM), Jefa Greenway (JG), Dieta Lim (DL)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Urban Design and Landscape Plan (UDLP) KM and JG gave an overview of what is the UDLP, the SPARK design, UDLP exhibition, construction lookahead and how to submit a formal submission to the UDLP design. <p>Questions/Responses:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> GJ: spoke about a Gippsland compound and if we were considering having solar panels on the site sheds? KM: It's something Spark are actively exploring. TG: does this compound road access use the Carey Grammar access road? Carey will have their route, and we are still working on the final plan, but we will have a separate entrance to the compound. FF: Asked if Carey Grammar and the SPARK compound would have the same access from Bulleen Road? KM: Spark are investigating a new separate entrance. GJ: followed up on questions sent to NELP previously? FW: we are following up on some of those questions, which we will reply to you tomorrow. FF requested a copy of GJ questions and response. FW also stated the purpose of speaking with specialists tonight and the opportunity to ask questions. GJ: what is a cycle path meaning compared to a cycle lane? MM: suggested that when convening into our small groups who are interested and sit down with DL to review the cycle paths and lanes to get clarification. DL: to clarify, we do not have any dedicated cycleways. However, there are shared user paths, pedestrian footpaths, and on-road cycle lanes on the roads. BD: does the UDLP cover the safety design elements to protect the public, e.g., noise, filters, exhausts, fumes? KM: yes, look and feel of noise attenuation is something that Spark are seeking feedback on. GJ: spoke about Toxic Teddy from England used around schools (a product that picks up levels of pollution in the area). Will there be air quality indicators in the project areas? KM: that is a good question, and I will get back to you. BD raised concerns about noise levels and queried if the project's noise standard is in compliance with safety in design requirements? FW advised project noise standard is 63 decibels during the day and 58 decibels at night and agreed to talk with BD further during the break out session about his concerns. Members of the group (JV, GJ, BD, FF and SG) expressed concerns over the short timeframe to make a submission for the UDLP. GJ stated that community group submissions take time, and it may not be possible to coordinate a submission before the deadline. KM: 3-weeks is the minimum statutory requirement to provide for public exhibition, but this is not the end of the consultation process. The design is still a 2-year process to seek feedback from the community and collaborate along the way. MM: suggested that this feedback about insufficient time could be included in the submissions. FF: asked if a time extension on submissions was possible? MM: suggested that the group's concerns are tabled at the meeting and that members send their concerns and request for extension in writing to NELP. He will also speak with NELP and advise them of the group discussion.

Owner	Action
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ JV: would like confirmation that the footprint of the Freeway Golf course will not change with the new Spark design and are council aware of the details? KM: said that Spark's design footprint does not interface with the golf course – and that it falls within the southern package. ● KM gave an overview of the UDLP interactive map on the engage VIC web page. https://engage.vic.gov.au/north-east-link-tunnels-urban-design-and-landscape-plan
Mike Marasco (MM)	<p>UDLP display</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Attendees were able to view the displays and design books to familiarise themselves and receive a package of relevant brochures. ● This was followed by the convening of four separate discussions to address matters raised during the session more fully. In summary, these related to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Cycle/shared paths/lighting (Deita Lim from TRACT, Frank Freschi, Glennys Jones) generally covering written questions submitted by Glennys ○ Noise (Frances Wickerson, Ben Dawson) ○ Ramps and access (Louisa Rendina, Tina Garg) ○ Rubbish removal (Liz Lambropoulos from Manningham City Council, Soula Grouios).

Actions raised

No.	Action/Issue	Owner	Status	Date closed
1	KM to follow up if there is a consideration of having solar panels at the spark compound sites?	SPARK	OPEN –	
2	FW to provide GJ with written responses to her questions. FF to be sent a copy of CJ questions and responses.	NELP	CLOSED – responses provided	27/04/22
3	KM to follow up if the project will use public viewing air quality indicators within the project areas e.g., Toxic Teddy	SPARK	OPEN –	
4	FW will take DB's details and follow up on his safety noise standards questions.	NELP	OPEN – Frances has passed query on to technical team	
5	Members to put any requests for additional time to prepare submissions in writing to NELP.	ALL	CLOSED – request for an extension of time were submitted	30/05/22
6	NELP to forward members' requests for time extensions to SPARK for consideration.	NELP	CLOSED – NELP has forward requests to SPARK	30/05/22
7	MM to advised NELP of this groups view that given the importance of this aspect of the project the time allowed for submissions should be longer than the minimum statutory requirement.	MM	CLOSED – MM wrote to NELP 25/05/22	25/05/22