|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Date** | Tuesday 21 June 2022 | **Meeting No** | 34 |
| **Chair** | Jeni Coutts | **Time** | 7.30am – 8.30am  |
| **Location** | Zoom | **Minutes** | Grace Rayner |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Members** |  |
| *Present** Jeni Coutts [Chair]
* Nic Thomas, local resident
* Malcolm Ninnis, local resident
* Jonathan Forbes, local resident
* Tennessee Leeuwenburg, local resident
* Graham Hoy, South Yarra Residents Association
* James Bryan, City of Stonnington
* Nadia Ford, City of Stonnington
 | *Apologies** Tom Howgate, local resident
* Ruth Speedy, Toorak Road South Yarra Business Association
* James Robinson, local resident
* Paul Bellette, Café Republic
* Christopher Blain, South Yarra Village Residents Group
* Gillian Fraser, local resident
* Chimuka Mweemba, City of Stonnington
* Kathy Dalton, local resident
 |
| **In attendance** |  |
| *Present** Rob Mair, Cross Yarra Partnership (CYP)
* Christian Green, CYP
* Matt Brajkovik, CYP
* Zaid Diego, Rail Network Alliance (RNA)
* Simon McHugh, RNA
 | * Michael Prebeg, RNA
* Paula Williams, Rail Projects Victoria (RPV)
* Janette Sato, RPV
* Meagan Lechucki, RPV
 | * Sebastian Immaraj, RPV
* Matilda Tonkin, RPV
* Xenia Sanut, RPV
* Tyson Garlick, RPV
* Grace Rayner, RPV [Secretariat]
 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. | Welcome and introduction |
|  | Welcome and introductions from Jeni Coutts (Chair). Matters arising: * Jeni Coutts welcomed members to the Community Reference Group (CRG).
 |
|  |
| 2. | Outstanding actions and issues register |
|  | Matters arising: * The CRG discussed the Outstanding Actions and Issues Register, including:
	+ In relation to S33-1, RNA advised that the issue of the rail squeal noise will be presented upon during the meeting.
	+ In relation to S33-2, RNA advises that an anti-graffiti deterrent will be installed along the Arthur Street fence in the form of a garden bed. The lower visibility of the wall will be a deterrent for graffiti artists.
 |
| 3. | Presentation from Project Contractors |
|  | Presentation by Matt Brajkovik (RNA) on the RNA construction update. Presentation by Rob Mair (CYP) on the CYP construction and creative update.Presentation by Zaid Diego (RNA) on the communications update. Presentation by Simon McHugh (AECOM) on noise data presentation.Presentation by Rob Mair (CYP) presenting on the project wide update.Matters arising:* Jeni Coutts asked when will the new hoarding be installed. CYP advised that the new hoarding will be installed within the next two weeks.
* Nic Thomas raised an issue with the aesthetic of the new hoarding and requested the design be reconsidered. CYP advised that if there is a strong opinion on the hoarding then the creative team will consider amending the design.
* Jonathan Forbes agreed that the new hoarding design was not ideal. CYP advised that it will talk to the Creative Team. Jonathan asked if the State Government advertising on the hoarding design was necessary. CYP advised that it is a requirement of the design.
* The CRG discussed the noise data presentation:
	+ Jeni Coutts asked where is the ‘track side location 2’ located. AECOM advised that the track side location is along Williams Street.
	+ Jonathan Forbes asked what the difference is between current noise and pre-project noise and what measurements were taken before the project commenced. RNA advised that measurements were taken along Osbourne Street and Williams Street. When the noise level data was captured pre-project, it was not train specific and captured all noise in that area. The original data was not collected with train noise comparison in mind.
	+ RPV advised that the noise data presentation aims identify whether the noise squeal has changed over time, which is why Sunbury Line Upgrade (SLU) data was used.
	+ Tennessee Leeuwenburg asked if it is possible to show a graph of the noise levels before and after at one of these locations. Jeni Coutts raised that in previous CRG meetings, graphs were included in the presentation that would show the monitoring of noise levels. RNA advised that it will look into including those graphs for future presentations.
	+ Malcom Ninnis asked if there can be data sharing coordination between all project areas and offices. CYP advises that data sharing occurs across the teams.
	+ Tennessee Leeuwenburg raised that in the last week the track squeal has changed in pitch and asked if a data comparison can be done for the next meeting. Tennessee offered to take detailed notes of the trains passing so it can be easier to understand what noise is train related. AECOM advised that would be helpful but may not necessarily help with the issue of the changed noise, as there isn’t old data to compare it to.
* Jeni Coutts asked if a tour of Anzac station for the CRG was still being planned. CYP advised that it will organise a tour of Anzac Station on a rostered day off (RDO) which would likely be on a Monday. CYP advised that it will send out an expression of interest to the other members of the South Yarra CRG.
 |
| 4.  | General feedback and items for future discussion  |
|  | Matters arising:* Malcom Ninnis asked what cost is involved in replacing the jersey barriers and if CYP could leave them as they are. CYP advised it will consult with the Creative Team, noting that it has currently halted the installation.
* Graham Hoy asked what was happening at Arthur Street in relation to anti-graffiti. RNA advised that a garden bed will be installed as a deterrent. Graham proposed to paint trees on the wall as a further deterrent. RNA advised that as it is private property, RNA cannot paint the fence without approval from the owner.
* Jonathan Forbes asked who is responsible for maintaining the concrete structures in terms of graffiti both in the short term and in the long term. CYP advised that during construction they have 24-hour security monitoring the site to deter graffiti and is responsible for cleaning any graffiti on the Osborne Street side, RNA is responsible for graffiti on the other side of the Sandringham Line in the sidings reserve. In the long term, CYP agreed to take that question regarding who would be responsible for maintaining the site in the future. *Post meeting note: RPV has confirmed that the City of Stonnington is responsible for clearing graffiti in the sidings reserve, MTM is responsible for the egress building, and responsibility for the rail corridor and bridges is still being confirmed.*
* Meagan Lechucki (RPV) presented the CRG survey results and announced that meetings will be continued over zoom. Jeni Coutts raised the benefits of offering opportunities for CRG members to attend station tours a couple of times a year. RPV advised that in-person station tours are being organised by CYP for the CRG members.
* Jonathan Forbes asked if the survey included institutional members of the CRG or is it reflected of the residential response. RPV advised that the survey results included institutional as well as residential CRG members.
 |
| **5.** | **Meeting close** |
|  | The Next meeting is on Tuesday 2 August 2022, 7.30-9:00am. |

**OUTSTANDING ACTIONS AND ISSUES REGISTER**

*No actions recorded*